1	SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP	LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER LLP
2	B. Trent Webb, Esq. (pro hac vice) Peter Strand, Esq. (pro hac vice)	W. West Allen (Nevada Bar No. 5566) 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
	Ryan D. Dykal, Esq. (pro hac vice)	Las Vegas, NV 89169
3	2555 Grand Boulevard	Telephone: (702) 949-8200
4	Kansas City, MO 64108-2613	wallen@lrrlaw.com
'	Telephone: (816) 474-6550	
5	Facsimile: (816) 421-5547	RIMINI STREET, INC.
4	bwebb@shb.com	Daniel B. Winslow (pro hac vice)
6	Robert H. Reckers, Esq. (pro hac vice)	6601 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 300
7	600 Travis Street, Suite 1600	Pleasanton, CA 94566
0	Houston, TX 77002	Telephone: (925) 264-7736 dwinslow@riministreet.com
8	Telephone: (713) 227-8008	<u> </u>
9	Facsimile: (713) 227-9508	John P. Reilly (pro hac vice)
	rreckers@shb.com	3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500
10	CIDCON DINN 0 CDUTCHED LLD	Las Vegas, NV 89169
11	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Mark A. Perry (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	Telephone: (336) 908-6961 jreilly@riministreet.com
11	1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.	<u>jiemy@mministreet.com</u>
12	Washington, DC 20036-5306	
1.2	Telephone: (202) 955-8500	
13	mperry@gibsondunn.com	
14	Blaine H. Evanson (pro hac vice)	
	333 South Grand Avenue	
15	Los Angeles, CA 90071	
16	Telephone: (213) 229-7228	
	bevanson@gibsondunn.com	
17		
18	Attorneys for Defendants Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
20		1
20	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation,	
21	and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL	
22	CORPORATION, a California corporation,	
22	Plaintiffs,	Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL
23	,	DEFENDANCE DIMINI CEDEET
2.4	v.	DEFENDANTS RIMINI STREET, INC.'S AND SETH RAVIN'S OFFER
24		OF PROOF REGARDING POST-2011
25	RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation,	CONDUCT
	and SETH RAVIN, an individual,	337,2331
26	Defendants.	
27	+	J
-,		
28		

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 860 Filed 10/02/15 Page 2 of 3

On September 17, 2015, this Court sustained Oracle's objection to highly relevant evidence concerning changes to Rimini Street, Inc.'s business practices and entered an order striking testimony on the topic. *See* 9/24 Tr. 580-583. On October 1, 2015, the Court denied Rimini's motion arguing that Oracle had opened the door to this evidence. Dkts. 845, 857.

Rimini has previously stated its basis for presenting this evidence, as well as the prejudice it suffers as a result of its exclusion. *Id.*; *see also* Dkt. 690 2-6.

If permitted, Rimini would offer documents (including Defense Trial Exhibits 411 to 440, which describe the model) and testimonial evidence (including from Mr. Benge and Mr. Ravin) demonstrating that immediately after this Court held that Rimini's conduct infringed Oracle's copyrights, Rimini modified its business model to no longer engage in the practices the Court found unlawful. Rimini would also offer similar evidence demonstrating that it can in fact operate with solely remote environments, which it has done since at least August 2014. Evidence that Rimini discontinued the infringing acts would not require any discussion of *Rimini II*, or even an argument that the new business model is non-infringing

DATED: October 2, 2015 SHOOK, HARDY & BACON

By: /s/ Robert H. Reckers
Robert H. Reckers

Attorneys for Defendants Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 2, 2015, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk of the court for the U.S. District Court, District of Nevada, using the electronic case filing system. The electronic case filing system sent a "Notice of Electronic Filing" to the attorneys of record who have consented in writing to accept this Notice as service of this document by electronic means.

By: <u>/s/ Robert H. Reckers</u> Robert H. Reckers

Attorney for Defendants Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin